Kent Archaeological Society's Transcription Group

Back to Contents Page

DOCUMENT INFORMATION/

Record Office: Kent History & Library Centre/

Document Reference: P339/13/7

Document type: Settlement Records/

Year: 1677 & 1678/

Subject Name: William Taylor/

Parish: Smarden/

Other names referred to within Document: Croft; Sir George Curteis; Thomas Filmer; John Henden; Richard Hilgio; Henry Jackson; Justice Paine;

Haman Rolph; Henry Spillet; William Weller; Edward Wise/

Other places/parishes: Charing; Graine; Stasfeeld; Stallisfeeld;

Stalisfeeld: Stalesfield: Sailsfeild/

Key words: labourer; poor relief/

Transcriber's notes:/

[DOCUMENT 1]

William Taylor was an Inhabitant in ye parish of Stasfeeld/ for sume years as hath beene proved, & at that time when/ he wass sent by pass to Smarden he covinant/ sarvant to one Haman Rolph of ye foresaid parish of/ Stasfeeld he going from his master before his time wass/ oute wass taken ill & lame & being nesisitated went/ to ye officers of ye parish of Stasfeeld & craved Reliefe/ accordingly he was relieved & lodged in a barne by two/ of ye Officers of ye said parish upon this ye Officers of/ ve said parish of Stasfeeld complained to ve justices that/ he had baged & gained their warrant to send him to/ Smarden where he said he wass borne notwithstanding/ at that time when he wass sent unto Smarden he was/ Covenant sarvant to ye foresaid Haman Rolph. both parishs apeered at ye Sessions at Canterbury it/ being so late as candle lighting ye Courte being full/ of business settled him upon Smarden till further/ Order, whereupon ye parish of Smarden gave notice to/ parish of Stasfeeld that they would apeale to ye Asises/ & accordingly Both parishes did apeare at ye Asises &/ The Judge of ye Asises did make an Order that whereas/ ye late Sesions at Canterbury had made an Order that ye/ said William Taylor should be setled at Smarden/ Till further Order that now it should be Referred to ye/ next Sesions of ye peace to be held at Maidstone for them/ to hear ye cause & settle ye said William Taylor according/ to Law, & accordingly ye said Sesions haveing heard ye Counsell/ on both sids & witnesses on their Oaths & upon a full hearing/ did make an Absolute Order for settling William Taylor/ at Stasfeeld, part of ye parishoner of Stasfeeld did question/ whether they should settle him with his master/ Haman Rolph or not/ will weller/

[DOCUMENT 2]

William Taylor was an Inhabitant in the parish of/ Stasfeeld for sume years as hath beene proved and/ at that time when he was sent by pass to/ Smarden he was Covinant Sarvant to one/ Haman Rolph of the aforesaid p[ar]ish of Stallisfeeld/ he going from his master before his time was/ oute was taken ill and lame and being nisisitated/ went to the officer of the p[ar]ish of Stalisfeeld and/ Craved Reliefe acordingly he was Relived and/ lodged in A barne by Two of the officers of the/ said p[ar]ish upon this the officers of the said p[ar]ish/ of Stalisfeeld Complained to the Justeses that he/ had baged and gained there warrant to send/ him to Smarden where he said that he was/ borne notwithstanding at that time when he/ was sent to Smarden he was Covenant Sarvant/ to the aforesaid haman Rolfe then both p[ar]ishes/ apeered at the Sesions at Canterbury it being/ soe late as Candell lighting the Court being/ full of busines settled him upon Smarden till/ further order whereupon the p[ar]ish of Smarden/ gave notice to the p[ar]ish of Stalisfeeld that they/ would apeale to the Asises and acordingly both/ parishes did appeere at the Asises and the Judge/ of the Asises did make an order that whereas/ the late Sesions of Canterbury had made an order/ that the said William Taylor should be settled at/ Smarden till further order that now it should be/ Refered to the next Sesions of the peace to be/ held at Maidstone for them to heere the Cause/ and settelle the said William Taylor acording/ to Law and acordingly the said Sesions having/ heard the Counsell one both sids and witnesses one/ their oaths and upon A full hearing did make an/ absolute order for settling William Taylor at/ Stalisfeeld [INS] and after the said William Taylor was setled at Stasfeeld [END INS] part of the parishoners of Stalisfeeld/ did question whether they should settle him with/ his master haman Rofe or not/

Henry Spillet of Charing proves his former Setlement at Stalisfeld with Croft/ & also the notice/ William Weller of Smarden/

[DOCUMENT 3]

Kent Ss The Informac[i]on of William Taylor now or late of/ the parish of Stalesfield in the County afores[ai]d labourer/ taken before and upon Oath this Second day of January/ An[no] d[omi]n[i] 1677/

This Inform[an]t saith That about the Middle of August last he/
came out of the parish of Graine in the County afores[ai]d to the said parish/
of Stalesfield And did then and there Contract w[i]th one Haman Rolfe/
of the s[ai]d parish of Stalesfield to serve him from that time till the/
Mich[elm]as followinge (w[hi]h was]Six weekes) and at Mich[elm]as he this/
Inform[an]t was to Receive of the said Haman Rolfe the sume of Thirty/
shillings and accordingly the said Haman Rolfe his Master did pay/
him this Inform[an]t Thirty Shillings And this Inform[an]t alsoe saith That/
about Two dayes before Mich[elm]as last he this Inform[an]t did Coven[an]t w[it]h/
the said Haman Rolfe his Master (In the p[re]sence of the Father and/
Mother of the said Haman Rolfe) for to serve him for the space of A/
yeare from the s[ai]d Mich[elm]as day then next comeinge for the Wages of/
Foure pounds and Tenn Shillings for the s[ai]d yeare And further this/

Inform[an]t saith that by reason he this Inform[an]t could not have his/ health and that his Master and he could not agree, soe this Inform[an]t/ did continue w[it]h his said Master but about Tenn dayes of his yeare/ and did then Leave his Masters service And from thence went to/ Charinge, and from thence went back to the parish of Stalesfield/ and soe went from parish to parish to seeke for Worke not settlinge/ any where. And this Inform[an]t further saith/ That about A moneth agoe he this Inform[an]t goeinge to the house/ of Henry Jackson Ov[er]seere of the said parish of Stalesfield for to Ask Reliefe/ the saide Henry Jackson did then Relieve him this Inform[an]t and gave him/ Leave to Lye in his Barne that night and the next day ordered this Inform[ant]/ for to goe to One Thomas Filmer the Churchwarden And this Inform[an]t did/ goe to the s[ai]d Thomas Filmer and asked Reliefe of him and the said Thomas/ Filmer did give this Inform[an]t Reliefe and ordered him for to Lye in his/ Barne that night But refused to give him any further Reliefe unless/ he had an order from the Justices of the peace. And further this Inform[an]t/ saith That he went the next day to Justice Paine to desire his order/ to the Offic[er]s of the parish of Stalesfield for them to Allow him this/ Inform[an]t Reliefe, w[hi]lh Order the said Justice paine did refuse to Grant/ And this Inform[an]t further saith that he went from the s[ai]d Justice panies to the parish of Charinge againe And that the Offic[er]s of the/ s[ai]d parish of Charinge did carry him this Inform[an]t before the Justices/ of the peace there then Sittinge And the s[ai] Justices of the peace/ Com[m]anded this Inform[an]t to be gone out of the said parish of/ Charinge And further this Inform[an]t saith that he did goe out of/ the said parish of Charinge, and went back againe to the parish/ of Stalesfeild And the Offic[er]s of the s[ai]d parish of Stalesfield/ Complayninge to S[ir] George Curteis and Justice paine of him/ this Inform[an]t the said S[ir] George Curteis and Justice paine did/ make A pass for him this Inform[an]t to be whipt and to be sent/ to the parish of Smarden in the County afores[ai]d Where he this/ Inform[an]t declared that he was Borne. And accordingly he/ this Inform[an]t was whipt at the said parish of Stalesfield and/ from then Conveyed to the parish of Smarden afores[ai]d/ And further this Inform[an]t saith not/ Wm Taylor/ by his marke/ John Henden/ Richard Hilgio/

[DOCUMENT 4]/

Kanc[?] s[...]? Ad gen[er]al? Quarterial? Session paci[s] D[o]m[ini]? Regis pro Com[itatu]??/

p[re]d[icto]? Kent apud Maidstone in dict[u]m* Com[itatu(m)] die Martis in/ prima septi[m]ana prox[ima] post Oct[avu]m(?) Pasche scil[icet] nono/ die Aprilis Anno regni d[o]m[ini] nostril Carolis s[e]c[un]di numero(??)**/ Regis Anglie et[c] Tricesimo Annoq[ue] d[o]m[ini] 1678/

[* Should be 'dicto' but clearly ends in 'm'. I think/
** This is illegible. It might mean 'Charles second by number'.]/

ATTEMPTED TRANSLATION/

Kent.... At the General Quarterly Session of the Peace of our Lord King for the aforesaid County/

of Kent at Maidstone in the said (?) County on Tuesday in the first week after the/ Octave of Easter that is the ninth day of April in the thirtieth year of our Lord Charles/

the second [by number] King of England etc and the Year of Our Lord 1678/

Upon debate of the matters in difference betweene/ the Inhabitants of the parish of Stallisfeild and the/ parish of Smarden in the County concerning the settlem[en]t/ of one William Taylor and upon hearing of the said/ several Inhabitants and theire Counsell It is ordered/ by this Court that the said William Taylor shall be settled at/ Stallisfeild where it appeares upon full evidence to this/ Court that the said William Taylor was last legally settled/ there to be provided for according to law/

april 11 - 1678 I delivered Will[ia]m Taylor to Edward Wise one/ of the Overseers of Sailsfeild with the order of Sessons/ of which Order the above written is atrue Coppie/ Witnes my hand William Weller/

Back to Contents Page

Kent Archaeological Society is a registered charity number 223382 © Kent Archaeological Society April 2015

This website is constructed by enthusiastic amateurs. Any errors noticed by other researchers will be to gratefully received so that we can amend our pages to give as accurate a record as possible. Please send details too research@kentarchaeology.org.uk